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Questions

## TAFT DEI

Switching gears ...

W1. Which of the following best describes you?
[ONLY IF NEEDED: The public sector includes any federal, state or local government funded organization, like the US Postal Service, US Military, State government, Police Department, Dept. of Health, Dept. of Education, Dept.of Transportation, Motor Vehicle Commission, Dept. of Corrections, and other similar organizations.]
[READ ALOUD]
1 Employed by a public sector employer
2 Employed by a for-profit private sector employer
3 Employed by a non-profit private sector employer
4 Unemployed
5 Something else [SPECIFY]
8 Don't know (VOL)
9 Refused (VOL)
DE1. Do you think having a diverse representation of genders, races, ethnicities, religions, sexual orientations, ages, and people of all types of abilities is essential, important but not essential, or not important when it comes to each of the following groups of people in the workplace:

## [ROTATE ORDER]

A Fellow employees
B Managers and company leaders

1 Essential
2 Important but not essential
3 Not important
8 Don't know (VOL)
9 Refused (VOL)

## [IF W1=1,2,3 ONLY]

DE2. Have you or have you not participated in any training or learning and development on diversity, equity, and inclusion in your workplace in the past 12 months?

1 Yes
2 No
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8 Don't know (VOL)
9 Refused (VOL)
DE3. Would you say the focus on diversity, equity, and inclusion in the workplace in 2023 is more important than it was three years ago in 2020, just as important, or less important?

1 More important
2 Just as important
3 Less important
8 Don't know (VOL)
9 Refused (VOL)

Weighted Demographics

## 1,002 New Jersey adults 18+

Overall Margin of Error $=+/-3.6$ percentage points

Please note: Totals may equal slightly more or less than $100 \%$ due to rounding.

|  |  | Deff | MOE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Man | 48\% | 1.36 | +/- 5.1\% |
| Woman | 52\% | 1.36 | +/-5.2\% |
| 18-34 | 26\% | 1.31 | +/- 7.3\% |
| 35-49 | 25\% | 1.37 | +/-6.7\% |
| 50-64 | 27\% | 1.36 | +/-6.9\% |
| 65+ | 22\% | 1.37 | +/-8.0\% |
| Democrat | 35\% | 1.35 | +/-6.1\% |
| Independent | 40\% | 1.35 | +/-5.8\% |
| Republican | 25\% | 1.38 | +/- 7.6\% |
| HS or Less | 27\% | 1.12 | +/- 8.8\% |
| Some College | 31\% | 1.23 | +/-6.5\% |
| College Grad | 23\% | 1.17 | +/-5.9\% |
| Grad Work | 19\% | 1.17 | +/-6.6\% |
| White | 55\% | 1.34 | +/-4.6\% |
| Black | 12\% | 1.32 | +/- 9.4\% |
| Hispanic | 20\% | 1.26 | +/- 9.2\% |
| Other | 13\% | 1.16 | +/- 12.0\% |
| <50K | 24\% | 1.31 | +/- 8.9\% |
| 50K-<100K | 31\% | 1.39 | +/- 7.1\% |
| 100K-<150K | 19\% | 1.32 | +/-8.2\% |
| 150K+ | 26\% | 1.26 | +/-6.6\% |
| Urban | 17\% | 1.36 | +/- 9.1\% |
| Suburb | 35\% | 1.36 | +/-6.2\% |
| Exurban | 14\% | 1.35 | +/- 9.5\% |
| Phil/South | 17\% | 1.41 | +/-8.7\% |
| Shore | 17\% | 1.31 | +/-8.5\% |
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## Methodology

The Rutgers-Eagleton Poll was conducted by telephone using live interviewers April 27 to May 5, 2023, with a scientifically selected random sample of 1,002 New Jersey adults, 18 or older. Persons without a telephone could not be included in the random selection process. Respondents within a household are selected by asking randomly for the youngest adult male or female currently available. If the named gender is not available, the youngest adult of the other gender is interviewed. This telephone poll included 304 adults reached on a landline phone and 698 adults reached on a cell phone, all acquired through random digit dialing; 250 of the cell phone completes were acquired through one-to-one SMS text messaging by live interviewers that led respondents to an online version of the survey. Distribution of phone use in this sample is:

| Cell | $45 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Text to Web | $25 \%$ |
| Landline | $30 \%$ |

The data were weighted to be representative of the residential adult population of New Jersey. The weighting balances sample demographics to target population parameters. The sample is balanced, by form and overall, to match parameters for sex, age, education, race/ethnicity, region and phone use. The sex, age, education, race/ethnicity, and region parameters were derived from 2021 American Community Survey PUMS data. The phone use parameter was derived from estimates provided by the National Health Interview Survey Early Release Program. ${ }^{1}$

Weighting was done in two stages. The first stage of weighting corrects for different probabilities of selection across the telephone samples associated with the number of adults in each household and each respondent's telephone usage patterns. This adjustment also

[^0]accounts for the overlapping landline and cell sample frames and the relative sizes of each frame and each sample. ${ }^{2}$

The final stage of weighting balances sample demographics, overall and by form, to match target population benchmarks. This weighting was accomplished using SPSSINC RAKE, an SPSS extension module that simultaneously balances the distributions of all variables using the GENLOG procedure. Weights were trimmed to prevent individual interviews from having too much influence on survey estimates. The use of these weights in statistical analysis ensures that the demographic characteristics of the sample closely approximate the demographic characteristics of the target population.

A series of weight variables was computed. One weight for estimates based on the total sample ("weight"), plus separate weights for each of the split samples.

Post-data collection statistical adjustments require analysis procedures that reflect departures from simple random sampling. We calculate the effects of these design features so that an appropriate adjustment can be incorporated into tests of statistical significance when using these data.

All surveys are subject to sampling error, which is the expected probable difference between interviewing everyone in a population versus a scientific sampling drawn from that population. Sampling error should be adjusted to recognize the effect of weighting the data to better match the population. In this poll, the simple sampling error for 1,002 New Jersey adults is +/-3.1 percentage points at a 95 percent confidence interval. The design effect ${ }^{3}$ is 1.36 , making the

[^1] this sample favor a particular position, we would be 95 percent sure that the true figure is between 46.4 and 53.6 percent ( $50+/-3.6$ ) if all New Jersey adults had been interviewed, rather than just a sample.

Sampling error does not consider other sources of variation inherent in public opinion studies, such as non-response, question wording, or context effects.

This Rutgers-Eagleton Poll was fielded by Braun Research, Inc. with sample from Dynata. The questionnaire was developed and all data analyses were completed in house by the Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling (ECPIP). The Rutgers-Eagleton Poll is paid for and sponsored by the Eagleton Institute of Politics at Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, a non-partisan academic center for the study of politics and the political process. Full questionnaires are available on request and can also be accessed through our archives at eagletonpoll.rutgers.edu. For more information, please contact poll@eagleton.rutgers.edu.
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## Questions and Tables

The questions covered in this release are listed below. Column percentages may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding. Respondents are New Jersey adults; all percentages are of weighted results. Interpret groups with samples sizes under 100 with extreme caution.

W1. Which of the following best describes you?
[ONLY IF NEEDED: The public sector includes any federal, state or local government funded organization, like the US Postal Service, US Military, State government, Police Department, Dept. of Health, Dept. of Education, Dept. of Transportation, Motor Vehicle Commission, Dept. of Corrections, and other similar organizations.]

| Employed by a public sector employer | $18 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Employed by a for-profit private sector employer | $27 \%$ |
| Employer by a non-profit private sector employer | $9 \%$ |
| Unemployed | $10 \%$ |
| Something else | $36 \%$ |
| Unweighted $\mathbf{N}=$ | 965 |


|  | Party ID |  |  | Gender |  | Race or Ethnicity |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dem | Ind | Rep | Man | Woman | Wht | BIk | Hisp | Other | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ |
| Public sector | 19\% | 18\% | 14\% | 15\% | 20\% | 14\% | 26\% | 26\% | 13\% | 23\% | 20\% | 19\% | 7\% |
| Private for-profit | 24\% | 30\% | 30\% | 33\% | 22\% | 26\% | 25\% | 33\% | 26\% | 30\% | 34\% | 34\% | 9\% |
| Private non-profit | 11\% | 8\% | 9\% | 8\% | 9\% | 7\% | 14\% | 12\% | 10\% | 14\% | 10\% | 10\% | 1\% |
| Unemployed | 10\% | 10\% | 10\% | 7\% | 12\% | 9\% | 9\% | 11\% | 11\% | 10\% | 9\% | 11\% | 8\% |
| Something else | 37\% | 35\% | 37\% | 36\% | 36\% | 43\% | 25\% | 18\% | 40\% | 23\% | 26\% | 27\% | 74\% |
| Unwt $\mathrm{N}=$ | 344 | 365 | 226 | 480 | 475 | 593 | 138 | 132 | 73 | 220 | 279 | 265 | 201 |
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|  | Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <\$50K | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50 \mathrm{~K}- \\ <\$ 100 \mathrm{~K} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$100K- } \\ & <\$ 150 K \end{aligned}$ | \$150K+ | Urban | Suburb | Exurban | Phil/ South | Shore | HS or less | Some college | College grad | Grad work |
| Public sector | 19\% | 15\% | 20\% | 19\% | 15\% | 21\% | 12\% | 17\% | 18\% | 13\% | 21\% | 19\% | 18\% |
| Private for-profit | 16\% | 26\% | 35\% | 35\% | 24\% | 25\% | 34\% | 27\% | 31\% | 24\% | 23\% | 35\% | 31\% |
| Private non-profit | 8\% | 12\% | 11\% | 8\% | 9\% | 9\% | 13\% | 7\% | 8\% | 6\% | 11\% | 10\% | 9\% |
| Unemployed | 19\% | 10\% | 3\% | 6\% | 11\% | 11\% | 5\% | 14\% | 7\% | 14\% | 11\% | 7\% | 5\% |
| Something else | 38\% | 37\% | 32\% | 32\% | 42\% | 34\% | 36\% | 35\% | 36\% | 43\% | 35\% | 29\% | 37\% |
| Unwt N= | 156 | 256 | 182 | 270 | 151 | 325 | 142 | 174 | 173 | 132 | 266 | 312 | 249 |
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DE1. Do you think having a diverse representation of genders, races, ethnicities, religions, sexual orientations, ages, and people of all types of abilities is essential, important but not essential, or not important when it comes to each of the following groups of people in the workplace:

## Fellow employees

| Essential | $42 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Important but not essential | $29 \%$ |
| Not important | $27 \%$ |
| Don't know | $2 \%$ |
| Unweighted N= | 981 |


|  | Party ID |  |  | Gender |  | Race or Ethnicity |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dem | Ind | Rep | Man | Woman | Wht | BIk | Hisp | Other | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ |
| Essential | 65\% | 39\% | 18\% | 34\% | 50\% | 34\% | 71\% | 43\% | 55\% | 44\% | 43\% | 41\% | 40\% |
| Impt, not esstl | 25\% | 31\% | 27\% | 29\% | 28\% | 33\% | 13\% | 28\% | 26\% | 33\% | 26\% | 29\% | 26\% |
| Not important | 9\% | 27\% | 52\% | 35\% | 19\% | 30\% | 13\% | 28\% | 16\% | 23\% | 29\% | 28\% | 29\% |
| DK | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 4\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 5\% |
| Unwt $\mathrm{N}=$ | 346 | 379 | 227 | 490 | 481 | 595 | 138 | 141 | 73 | 225 | 287 | 269 | 200 |


|  | Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <\$50K | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50 \mathrm{~K}- \\ <\$ 100 \mathrm{~K} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$100K- } \\ & <\$ 150 K \end{aligned}$ | \$150K+ | Urban | Suburb | Exurban | Phil/ South | Shore | HS or less | Some college | College grad | Grad work |
| Essential | 53\% | 40\% | 32\% | 43\% | 55\% | 46\% | 38\% | 38\% | 29\% | 39\% | 40\% | 42\% | 52\% |
| Impt, not esstl | 25\% | 33\% | 33\% | 26\% | 27\% | 22\% | 39\% | 33\% | 31\% | 23\% | 35\% | 30\% | 27\% |
| Not important | 19\% | 26\% | 33\% | 30\% | 17\% | 29\% | 19\% | 28\% | 39\% | 34\% | 23\% | 27\% | 21\% |
| DK | 4\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% |
| Unwt $\mathrm{N}=$ | 154 | 253 | 184 | 277 | 153 | 337 | 144 | 176 | 171 | 133 | 269 | 314 | 258 |


|  | Employment |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public <br> sector | Private <br> for-profit | Private <br> non-profit |
| Essential | $50 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Impt, not esstl | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
| Not important | $19 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| DK | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Unwt N= | 179 | 280 | 95 |
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## Managers and company leaders

| Essential | $43 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Important but not essential | $28 \%$ |
| Not important | $26 \%$ |
| Don't know | $2 \%$ |
| Unweighted $\mathbf{N}=$ | 978 |


|  | Party ID |  |  | Gender |  | Race or Ethnicity |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dem | Ind | Rep | Man | Woman | Wht | Blk | Hisp | Other | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ |
| Essential | 67\% | 39\% | 19\% | 33\% | 52\% | 34\% | 71\% | 47\% | 62\% | 50\% | 44\% | 39\% | 41\% |
| Impt, not esstl | 24\% | 30\% | 29\% | 29\% | 28\% | 34\% | 14\% | 25\% | 22\% | 31\% | 26\% | 30\% | 25\% |
| Not important | 8\% | 27\% | 50\% | 35\% | 17\% | 30\% | 12\% | 26\% | 13\% | 18\% | 29\% | 30\% | 28\% |
| DK | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 6\% |
| Unwt $\mathrm{N}=$ | 345 | 379 | 225 | 487 | 481 | 593 | 138 | 141 | 72 | 225 | 286 | 269 | 198 |


|  | Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <\$50K | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$50K- } \\ \text { <\$100K } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 100 K- \\ & <\$ 150 K \end{aligned}$ | \$150K+ | Urban | Suburb | Exurban | Phil/ South | Shore | HS or less | Some college | College grad | Grad work |
| Essential | 54\% | 42\% | 34\% | 44\% | 54\% | 49\% | 38\% | 38\% | 31\% | 38\% | 44\% | 42\% | 52\% |
| Impt, not esstl | 28\% | 30\% | 32\% | 25\% | 23\% | 21\% | 39\% | 35\% | 34\% | 27\% | 30\% | 30\% | 26\% |
| Not important | 16\% | 27\% | 31\% | 30\% | 21\% | 28\% | 19\% | 25\% | 34\% | 31\% | 23\% | 26\% | 21\% |
| DK | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Unwt $\mathrm{N}=$ | 154 | 253 | 183 | 277 | 153 | 336 | 144 | 176 | 169 | 133 | 268 | 314 | 257 |


|  | Employment |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public <br> sector | Private <br> for-profit | Private <br> non-profit |
| Essential | $52 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Impt, not esstl | $24 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Not important | $24 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| DK | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Unwt N= | 179 | 280 | 95 |
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DE2. Have you or have you not participated in any training or learning and development on diversity, equity, and inclusion in your workplace in the past 12 months?
Note: This question was only asked of respondents who indicated they were employed by a public sector, private for-profit, or private non-profit employer, per W1.

| Yes | $62 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| No | $38 \%$ |
| Don't know | $0 \%$ |
| Unweighted $\mathbf{N}=$ | 562 |


|  | Party ID |  |  | Gender |  | Race or Ethnicity |  | Age |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dem | Ind | Rep | Man | Woman | White, non- <br> Hispanic | Non-white | Under 50 | $50+$ |
| Yes | $68 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| No | $32 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| DK | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Unwt N= | 195 | 218 | 129 | 295 | 261 | 310 | 236 | 351 | 211 |


|  | Income |  |  | Education |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<\$ 75 \mathrm{~K}$ | $\$ 75 \mathrm{~K}-$ <br> $<\$ 150 \mathrm{~K}$ | $\$ 150 \mathrm{~K}+$ | Some college <br> or less | College or <br> more |
| Yes | $50 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| No | $50 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| DK | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Unwt N= | 134 | 205 | 175 | 211 | 350 |
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|  | Employment |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public <br> sector | Private <br> for-profit | Private non- <br> profit |
| Yes | $68 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| No | $32 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| DK | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Unwt N= | 182 | 282 | 96 |
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DE3. Would you say the focus on diversity, equity, and inclusion in the workplace in $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ is more important than it was three years ago in 2020, just as important, or less important?

| More important | $33 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Just as important | $41 \%$ |
| Less important | $21 \%$ |
| Don't know | $5 \%$ |
| Unweighted $\mathbf{N}=$ | 991 |


|  | Party ID |  |  | Gender |  | Race or Ethnicity |  |  |  | Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dem | Ind | Rep | Man | Woman | Wht | Blk | Hisp | Other | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ |
| More important | 45\% | 30\% | 21\% | 26\% | 39\% | 28\% | 49\% | 35\% | 42\% | 36\% | 37\% | 27\% | 34\% |
| As important | 48\% | 41\% | 36\% | 41\% | 42\% | 44\% | 39\% | 39\% | 40\% | 45\% | 40\% | 43\% | 35\% |
| Less important | 3\% | 24\% | 37\% | 27\% | 15\% | 23\% | 6\% | 19\% | 13\% | 16\% | 19\% | 23\% | 23\% |
| DK | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% | 4\% | 5\% | 6\% | 7\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 8\% |
| Unwt N= | 351 | 381 | 225 | 495 | 486 | 594 | 143 | 144 | 76 | 232 | 283 | 274 | 202 |


|  | Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  | Education |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | <\$50K | $\begin{gathered} \text { \$50K- } \\ <\$ 100 \mathrm{~K} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 100 K- \\ & <\$ 150 K \end{aligned}$ | \$150K+ | Urban | Suburb | Exurban | Phil/ South | Shore | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HS or } \\ & \text { less } \end{aligned}$ | Some college | College grad | Grad work |
| More important | 36\% | 38\% | 26\% | 30\% | 37\% | 32\% | 35\% | 36\% | 27\% | 33\% | 35\% | 29\% | 35\% |
| As important | 41\% | 39\% | 45\% | 44\% | 45\% | 44\% | 35\% | 34\% | 44\% | 34\% | 43\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Less important | 14\% | 20\% | 25\% | 21\% | 11\% | 18\% | 24\% | 28\% | 24\% | 23\% | 19\% | 22\% | 16\% |
| DK | 8\% | 3\% | 3\% | 5\% | 7\% | 5\% | 6\% | 2\% | 5\% | 9\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Unwt $\mathrm{N}=$ | 159 | 258 | 184 | 278 | 156 | 338 | 144 | 178 | 175 | 137 | 274 | 316 | 257 |


|  | Employment |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public <br> sector | Private <br> for-profit | Private <br> non-profit |
| More important | $35 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| As important | $47 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Less important | $13 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| DK | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Unwt N= | 180 | 283 | 95 |

Eagleton Institute of Politics
Eagleton Center for Public Interest Polling Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey 191 Ryders Lane
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901-8557
eagletonpoll.rutgers.edu
Twitter: @EagletonPoll
Facebook: /RutgersEagletonPoll
poll@eagleton.rutgers.edu
848-932-8940


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ NCHS, National Health Interview Survey, 2018-2020; U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2017-2019.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Buskirk, T. D., \& Best, J. (2012). Venn Diagrams, Probability 101 and Sampling Weights Computed for Dual Frame Telephone RDD Designs. Journal of Statistics and Mathematics, 15, 3696-3710.
    ${ }^{3}$ Post-data collection statistical adjustments require analysis procedures that reflect departures from simple random sampling. We calculate the effects of these design features so that an appropriate adjustment can be incorporated into tests of statistical significance when using these data. The so-called "design effect" or deff represents the loss in statistical efficiency that results from a disproportionate sample design and systematic nonresponse.

